## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

PETER M. BERNEGGER,

Plaintiff,

WASHINGTON MUTUAL, F.A., et al,

Defendants.

PETER M. BERNEGGER,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 08-C-335

Case No. 07-C-1028

JAY J. PITNER,

v.

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

This matter comes before the court on the Defendants' unopposed motion to consolidate the above captioned cases, in which Plaintiff Peter M. Bernegger asserts multiple common law tort claims, and alleges violations of his rights under the United States Constitution and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. Because these actions involve common questions of law and fact, the motion to consolidate is **GRANTED**.

Also before the court is a motion by Bernegger purporting to seek a preliminary injunction "upon the Waupaca Circuit Court Notice of Confirmation Sale Hearing order entered June 6th, 2008," and enjoining ongoing Wisconsin state court proceedings in connection with a foreclosure action on his property in Waupaca County. To the extent Bernegger requests that this court

invalidate a prior state court order, the court is precluded from doing so under the Rooker-Feldman

doctrine, which acknowledges that federal district courts lack subject matter jurisdiction to hear

claims seeking review of state court judgments. Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co., 263 U.S. 413 (1923);

see Brokaw v. Weaver, 305 F.3d 660, 664 (7th Cir. 2002) ("No matter how erroneous or

unconstitutional the state court judgment may be, the Supreme Court of the United States is the only

federal court that could have jurisdiction to review a state court judgment."). The other relief

Bernegger seeks, the staying of ongoing state court proceedings, is granted in only the most unusual

of circumstances. The Anti-Injunction Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2283, prohibits a federal court from

enjoining proceedings in state court subject to three narrowly-read exceptions not applicable here.

Because Bernegger's motion fails to set forth any facts that would support the granting of such

drastic and extraordinary relief, his motion for a preliminary injunction is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED** this <u>11th</u> day of June, 2008.

s/ William C. Griesbach

William C. Griesbach

United States District Judge

2